



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/458,366	12/09/1999	RONALD M. EVANS	SALK2270-2	8768

7590 05/26/2004

Stephen E. Reiter  
Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP  
4365 EXECUTIVE DRIVE  
SUITE 1600  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121

EXAMINER
----------

WOITACH, JOSEPH T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
9/458,366	12/9/99	Evans	SALK-2270-2

EXAMINER	
Woitach	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	08172004

DATE MAILED:

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Joseph Woitach (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Stephen E. Reiter (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview \_\_\_\_\_

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.Claims discussed: All pending claims.Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Proposed claim language was discussed, and that it overcame the rejections of record. 112<sup>th</sup> issues were discussed and specific language to overcome the rejections was provided by the Examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

  
Examiner's Signature